

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,379	09/08/2003	Chuan-Cheng Tu	U68.312-0001	9434
164	7590 03/09/2005		EXAMINER	
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A. THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING 312 SOUTH THIRD STREET			PHAM, LONG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55415-1002		2814	-
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H·r
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
	10/657,379	TU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Long Pham	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	*
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regility of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  35 from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-10,41 and 43-51 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-10,41 and 43-51 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	• •	· ·	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) accepted or b) ote drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	). \
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportity documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	·
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date nmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/657,379

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ito (US 6,583,442).

With respect to claim 1, Ito teaches a light emitting diode (LED), comprising (see figs. 1(a)-1(b), 2, 3, 4, 5(a)-5(b), and 6(a)-6(b) and associated text): a semiconductor layer 102 of a first polarity;

an active layer 103, located on the semiconductor layer of the first polarity; and

a semiconductor layer 104 of a second polarity, located on the active layer, wherein at least one side of at least the active layer and the semiconductor layer of the second polarity is of irregular shape.

Further with respect to claim 1, since Ito teaches the claimed device, the probability of reflecting the light emitted form the active layer is reduced, thus the light emitted from the active layer penetrates through the at least one side and emits outside the LED.

With respect to claim 2, Ito further teaches that the semiconductor layer of the first polarity is made of GaN.

With respect to claim 3, Ito further teaches that the active layer is made of InGaN.

With respect to claim 4, Ito further teaches that the semiconductor layer of the second polarity is made of GaN.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,379

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 5, Ito further teaches that the shape of the at least one side is selected form a group consisting of semicircle.

Page 3

With respect to claim 10, the recited process limitation is not given weight in the patentability determination of present device claims.

3. Claims 41, 43, 46, 49, 50, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ito (US 6,583,442).

With respect to claim 41, Ito teaches a light emitting diode (LED), comprising (see figs. 1(a)-1(b), 2, 3, 4, 5(a)-5(b), and 6(a)-6(b) and associated text): a semiconductor layer 102 of a first polarity;

an active layer 103, located on the semiconductor layer of the first polarity; and

a semiconductor layer 104 of a second polarity, located on the active layer, wherein at least one side of at least the active layer and the semiconductor layer of the second polarity has an uneven surface.

Further with respect to claim 1, since Ito teaches the claimed device, the probability of reflecting the light emitted form the active layer is reduced, thus the light emitted from the active layer penetrates through the at least one side and emits outside the LED.

With respect to claim 43, Ito further teaches that the uneven surface of the at least one side in a top view of the LED is selected form a group consisting of semicircle. See figs. 1(a)-1(b), 2, 3, 4, 5(a)-5(b), and 6(a)-6(b) and associated text.

With respect to claim 46, the recited process limitation is not given weight in the patentability determination of present device claims.

With respect to claim 49, Ito further teaches that the semiconductor layer of the first polarity is made of GaN. Application/Control Number: 10/657,379 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 50, Ito further teaches that the active layer is made of InGaN.

With respect to claim 51, Ito further teaches that the semiconductor layer of the second polarity is made of GaN.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 7, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito (US 6,583,442) as applied to claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 10 above, and further in view of Sugimoto et al. (JP 04061184).

With respect to claims 8 and 9, Ito fails to teach forming a valley or trench or groove that extends from an upper surface of the semiconductor of the second polarity through the active layer to the substrate.

Sugimoto et al. teach a light emitting device in which a trench or groove or valley 7 extends from an upper surface of semiconductor layers through the active layer 3 to substrate 1 to improve yield of light efficiency. See the English abstract and figs. 1 and 2.

It would have been obvious to one of <u>ordinary skill</u> in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate the above teaching of Sugimoto et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,379

Art Unit: 2814

into Ito's device to improve yield of light efficiency. See the English abstract and figs. 1 and 2.

Page 5

With respect to claim 6, since Ito in view of Sugimoto et al. teaches the claimed device, a deformed dimension of the at least one side is greater than an equivalent emitting wavelength of the LED.

With respect to claim 7, since Ito in view of Sugimoto et al. teaches the claimed device, an incident angle of the light emitted from the active layer to the at least one side is less than a reflective critical angle of the at least one side.

6. Claims 44, 45, 47, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito (US 6,583,442) as applied to claims 41, 43, 46, 49, 50, and 51 above, and further in view of Sugimoto et al. (JP 04061184).

With respect to claims 47 and 48, Ito fails to teach forming a valley or trench or groove that extends from an upper surface of the semiconductor of the second polarity through the active layer to the substrate.

Sugimoto et al. teach a light emitting device in which a trench or groove or valley 7 extends from an upper surface of semiconductor layers through the active layer 3 to substrate 1 to improve yield of light efficiency. See the English abstract and figs. 1 and 2.

It would have been obvious to one of <u>ordinary skill</u> in the art of making semiconductor devices to incorporate the above teaching of Sugimoto et al. into Ito's device to improve yield of light efficiency. See the English abstract and figs. 1 and 2.

With respect to claim 44, since Ito in view of Sugimoto et al. teaches the claimed device, a deformed dimension of the at least one side is greater than an equivalent emitting wavelength of the LED.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,379 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

With respect to claim 45, since Ito in view of Sugimoto et al. teaches the claimed device, an incident angle of the light emitted from the active layer to the at least one side is less than a reflective critical angle of the at least one side.

### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1714. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Long Pham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2814